1857

Section S. and A.
File No 1 of 1851
Serial No 1—19

Subject

Pension to late Moin Mahomed Ali Khan's family.

Involved.

Subbarao

1857 362 f. 309

Mily. Assistant
d 9th d. 15th August

Requests he will caution
a mooty of the Parwun-
nah 1266-8-" of the
late Meer Nabob Ally
Khan, to be continued
to his son Meer Mysser-
oodeen Ally Khan
of Mysore, and that
he will arrange with
the Supt. Telegraph for
its future payment &c.



Section S & A
File No 1-5857
Serial No 1

Mysore Commissioner's Office

No 304

To

The Military Assistant
to the Commissioner

Sir -

I have the
honor by desire of
the Commissioner to
state that as the
Government of
India have sanc-
tioned a Gratuity of
the Pension of the
late Meer Mahomed
Ally Khan, to be
continued to his
Family from the
date of his Demise
the 9th December 1850,
and to be paid to
his son Meer Nuper-
oodeen Ally Khan,
You are requested
to see to the
to arrange with
the Superintendent of
Administration for
the future payments
Monthly from his
Division of the
Sum of Rupees 67-8-
being the Monthly

the Allowance
which the Secretary
Secretary has asked
to Draw from the
Secret Service Money —

Washington
5th August 1861.

Mysore Commissr's Office

No. 275

To

Lieut. G. Martin -
Junior Assistant
to the
Commissioner

Sir -

S & A
Section
File 1-51
Serial No. 2

I have the honor by desire of the Commissioner to request that you will have the goodness to furnish this Office with a list showing the distribution of the Pension granted to the Family of the late Peer Sahib Kamandar Ally Khan, a relation of the late Tippoo Sultaun -

This Pension is dated the 9th April 1830 -

I have &c

Bangalore -
6th July 1852 -



1852 No. 18

From

Lieut.t Martin

Junior Assistant

d/ 13th

r/ 15th } July

As requested in letter

No 275 of the 12th Instt

sends the distribution

list of the Pension

granted to the family

of the late Meer

Mahomed Ally Khan.

No. 14

S&A
1-51
3

To The Secretary to the Commissioner
for the Government of the
Territories of H. H. the Rajah
of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 275, of the 6th Instant, I have the honor herewith to forward a List showing the distribution of the Pensions granted to the Family of the late Meer Mahomed Ally Khan, a relation of the late Tippoo Sultaun.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

J. Martin
Junior Assistant

Yelwall
13th July
1852

No 40

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners
for the Government of the Territories
of the Rajah of Mysore

Sir

S+A
1-51
4

I have the honor to transmit herewith for the information of the Commissioners, an original Petition from Peerzy Begum, widow of the late Meer Razah ally Khan, son of the late Meer Mohammad ally Khan

The Petitioner prays for a continuance to her of the sum of Rupees 3 4 3 which she was allowed out of the share of the Pension granted to her late husband on the Decease of her mother being mentioned in the will left by her late Father in law

The List described as

Copy of this document was transmitted to the Secretary to the Commissioners with letter No 44 dated the 13th July 1852

distribution of the Pension
granted for the support of his
family; and which was forfeited
by their Mujaur-oodeen ally Khan,
the chief Pensioner since 1852
bears no allusion to the allegation
of the Petitioner, but the sole
share of the Pension enjoyed
by Meer Wazah ally Khan, the
late Husband of the Petitioner
Wife Rupees 28. 6 10) would
appear to have been struck off,
this bid, consequent on his demise
on the 23rd May 1856.

Meer Mujaur-oodeen
ally Khan, the chief Pensioner
has been called upon for an
explanation, and his status
as will be seen by his answer on
Yadast, which accompanies
this, that the Petitioner's name
is entered in the will of the

his late Father & it was
omitted from the list in consequence
of a dispute which
existed between him and his
brother Meer Ruzah ally Khan
as to his share, but that this
dispute was settled by Captain
Martin in 1856 —

There is however
nothing on record to shew the
settlement by Captain Martin
of this question —

Under these circumstances
I solicit the Commissioners
instructions as to the disposal
of the Petitioners application

The original will be
by Meer Mohammed ally Khan
is herewith forwarded —

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt

Mysore
the 4th October 1859

W. H. Bettesworth
Junior Assistant

To

Received 12 June 1859

Lieutenant J. A. Campbell

Junior Assistant to the

Commissioner for the Govt. of Mysore.

The humble petition of Barry Bai,

Surviving widow of the late Ruzza Ally

Khan, and daughter-in-law of the

late Nabob Sheer Mahummud Ally

Khan. —

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your petitioner with due reverence,
and submission, begs leave to inform your honor that she was
in the habit of drawing 7 and a half Rupees per month for her
protection out of the pension of Meerjee Mudeen Ally Khan as
has been testified in the last will and testament of her late
father-in-law, Nabob Meer Mohamed Ally Khan Sahib
and that has been put down owing to the
death of her deceased husband; and consequently, she has
now presumed to state to your kind approbation, that she has been
lawfully entitled to receive something for her maintenance
out of the lawful pension of her deceased husband; and
if not so, she is bound to receive a pension as her name has
been enlisted in the last will of her deceased father-in-law;
and wherefore she most earnestly begs your honor to be graciously
pleased as to inquire into her distressed case, and order her
pension to be given to her as has been testified in the last
will and testament of her deceased father-in-law as she has
been lawfully bound to support a family consisting of 15
individuals. and which cannot be done unless your favourable
and compassionate assistance be afforded to her. —

For which act of gratitude your petitioner, as in
duty bound, will ever pray.

Signed

Parry Baigent.



To

Lieutenant J. A. Campbell
Junior Assistant
to the
Commissioner for the Govt of
Mysore

P.P.C.

Mysore.

అష్టగ్రామడివిజన్ పంథన్ యిలాఖారబడేంటమేశేమల్ సాహేబురవర

జనాబిగే———————————————

మైసూరుహళేఅగ్రహారదల్లుయిరుమపూరమహంమదు అల్లిఖానన

హేబురవరపేమంహిన్నేదారళ్ళాదవ్యారిచేగంమనఅజ్ఞ———

S & R

1-5-1902

Serial No. 6

Memorandum

With reference to the accompanying letter from Captain McHutchin I respectfully beg to state that Ali Raza Khan therein alluded to, was the eldest son of the late Nabob Meer Mahomed Ally Khan brother in law of Tippoo Sultan.

Ally Raza Khan being a cripple, his father in his will fixed a small share of pension viz Rupees 28-6-10. to be paid to him after his (Nabobs decease) which amount, when paid by Nasseer Saib the head of the family, he (Ally Raza Khan) refused to receive, but sent an urzee to the Commissioner stating that while he was a legitimate and eldest son of the Nabob; Nasseer Saib the illegitimate son has been enjoying the large portion of his fathers pension, upon which, the Head of the family being called upon to explain the cause of it, he produced the original will left by the deceased by which he has fixed - when that matter was set at rest.

The Government of India, on the appeal of Ali Raza Khan, has confirmed the settlement made by the Commissioner after perusing the explanation

explanation afforded by him on the subject.
Ally Reza Khan then received the payment of
the arrears of his portion of pension, which was
accordingly paid to him and also his share continues
to be paid to him monthly until his demise in May 1858.

The Court of Directors having requested a
nominal list of the distribution of the pension of the
said Nabob, the Head of the family furnished one
whether or not in accordance with the will left
by the Nabob. On its being furnished to
Government, they have conveyed the order of the Bombay
Authorities directing that the shares of the deceased
Members of this family should lapse to Government.
By the spirit of this order, the share of Ally Reza
Khan's pension was discontinued after his death.
The Head of the family now states the cause of his
having withheld the share of pension of Panchabee (alias Bangley)
widow of Ally Reza Khan which was first fixed
in the Will of the Nabob, was owing to the dispute
then existed between him and his brother, Ally Reza
Khan. This dispute having since been removed,
he now comes forward to claim the claim of
Panchabee, the widow of his Brother on the

Strength

strength of the Will - 19 The Government is not of course under obligation to extend its bounty at all times to meet the convenience and pleasure of the Head of this family -

H Goodsir

Wandsworth
18 April 1860.

Under all the circumstances of this case this claim should be left to the discretion of the head of the family -

H Goodsir

Where is the letter from Govt - of the 9th April 1857 referred to in the Abstract -

D

S&A
1857

L. C. Hill
Jr Offr

Sir

With refe to Captain Mc Watkins
letter No 40. of the 4th of last
October I have the honor by
desire of the Commissioners
to inform you, that under
all the circumstances of the
case, he is of opinion, that
the Claim of Puckabee on
Penny bee Willow of Alee Bugee
to claim not of right but by charity
Khan, should be left ~~subject~~
~~subject~~ to the discretion
of the head of the family.—

I have
the original bill of the
late Nabob River Mahomed
Ally Khan is herewith

Sunday Office
April 15th 1860.

1860. No 11

To Lieut. Hill
Junior Assist. to the
Commissioner.

Dated & Disp'd 25th April.

With reference to
letter No 40 of 1st
October last informs
that the claim of
Punchabee or Panaybee
for a share of pension
should be left to the
discretion of the head of
the family. The origi-
nal Will is herewith re-
turned.

Jo 4603 2/

Section 8+A
File No 1-57
Serial No 8

Mysore Commrs Office

To Lieutt P. Gough
Junior Asst in charge
of Civil Pensioners
Mysore.

Sir,

With reference
to the Civil Auditors
objections made in
the accompanying
Abstracts of Pensioners
on the Two Lac
Fund for July 1860,
I have the honor by
the Commrs desire to
request you to be so good as
to afford the infor-
mation called for on
therein adverted to,
~~two supplemental~~
~~bills in the~~
~~office~~.

I am also desired
to state that without previous
~~reference~~

[illegible]

[illegible] exceeding Six Months
for arrears are not to be paid
he will be deem from
the order of Govt
marginally quoted,
[illegible]

Further, you are
requested to make the
deductions of the
Income tax accordi
to Sec: 36 of the Act
No: 32 of 1860 on the am
of Bills drawn in
the abstract now
returned, and pay
the aggregate Sum
thus realized into th
Rs Sagram Division
treasury, that the sam
may be credited
in accounts rendered
this office.

Hungalore
1st Sept. 1860.

"Whereas the Income
assessment herein specified
ought to be levied upon
persons whose Names
are not entered in the
Collector's Book, and
over whom the Collector
has no control, it is
Ordained, That when the said
Collector or a Deputy
duly made to him, that
any person has declined
giving a Return or
submitted a false Return
of his Income, or refused
to give any information
required by him, he
shall consider it to be"

1860 No. 603

To
The Junior Asst in charge
of the Civil Pensioners
Mysore

Dated 1st Sept.
Despd do

No 603.

Calls for explanation regarding the Civil Auditor's objections in the Abstract of Pensioners on the Sea Fund for July 1860, and conveys instructions about the payment of Pensions exceeding twenty rupees in arrears, and also on the collection of Income Tax.

Answered

B 1163

Encl

25

Section S.A
File No 1-57
Serial No 9

1860. No. 52.

From
The Jr. Asst. to the Comr.
in charge of Cl. Rus.rs
Mysore.

Dated 21st. }
Rec'd 24th. } Septr.

No. 47

Furnishes certain information in reply to the Civil Auditor's objections made in the Abstract of Pensioners on the Two Lac Fund.

Copy sent to the Civil Aud.r F.F.
27- Augt.

Encl

No. 47

To

The Officiating Secretary to the Comm^r
for the Government of the Territories
of H. H. the Rajah of Mysore

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter No. 603 dated the 1^st
Instant, and its enclosures, requesting
me to furnish you with the necessary
information to the Civil Auditor's objections
made in the Abstract of Pensioners now
the Two Surbund for July last, and with
reference thereto to enclose a fresh
Statement, (together with two Supplie-
mental Abstracts for Audit) shewing
in detail the particulars of each
Family, and the amount of Income
Tax payable by each of the shares
in it.

As regards the objection
made on the payment to Parry Begum

I

I have the honor to transmit
herewith the correspondence to
and from the Commissioner on
the subject, and to state that a
portion of the pension was transferred
to her on the death of her husband
Major Magaw ally claim. —

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Percy Jones

Lieut. & Asst. to the Comm.
Discharged Claims Div. —

Ryde
2nd September 1860

No 440

98

...ction
...ile No

To

The Secretary to the Commissioner

Above | Above | Above

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith for the information of the Commissioner, an Original Petition from Parry Beegum widow of the late Meer Razah ally, son of the late Meer Mohomed ally Khan —

The Petitioner prays for a continuance to her of the sum of Rupees 7—3—2 which she was allowed out of the shares of the pension granted to her late husband on the ground of her name being mentioned in the Will left by her late Father in law —

The List describing the distribution of the pension granted for the support of this family and which was furnished by Meer Burhan-oodeen ally Khan the chief Pensioner since 1852, bears no allusion to the allegation of the Petitioner; but the

late

full Share of the pension enjoyed by Moor Nazabally Khan the late husband of the Petitioner (Viz. Rs 28-6-10 would appear to have been struck off this list consequent on his demise on the 23d May 1854 —

Moor Sufsoor oodeen ally Khan, the chief Commissioner has been called upon for an explanation, and he states as will be seen by his annexed Yadast which accompanies this, that tho the Petitioner's name is entered in the Bill left by his late Father, yet it was omitted from the list in consequence of a dispute which existed between him and his brother Moor Nazabally Khan, about his shares, but that this dispute was settled by Captain Martin in 1854 —

There is however nothing on record to shew the settlement by Captain Martin of this Question —

Under these circumstances

I solicit the commissioner's instructions as to the disposal of the Petitioner's application.

The original will left by Meer Mohomed ally Khan is herewith forwarded.

I have &c.ª

/Signed/ T. M. M'Hutchin

Junr. Assistt. to the Commr.

Mysore
4th October 1869.

Mysore Commissioner's Office

To

Lieutenant Hill

Junr. Assistt. to the Commissioner

Sir

With reference to Captain M'Hutchin's letter No. 1210 of the 4th ult. I have the honor by desire of the Commissioner, to inform you that under all the circumstances of the case, he is of opinion, that the claim of Pachabee or Peery Bee widow of ally Razah Khan is a claim not of right, but of charity, & should be left to the discretion of the head of the

The Registrar

The original rule of the late Sarah Ellen Mahomed ally Shaw is herewith returned

Shaw & Co

Signed J. Le Soeur

Officiating Secretary

Sundry dues
26th April 1860

Sum Copies

Burgess

Sum & Spirit to the Court

Exchange Office

32

To. 1860 Rec'd 740

Junior Assistant
in Charge of Coal Pits

Dated 13th October
Rec'd

740

Requests to Report
fully the Cause of 13 Months
delay in paying the Pension
to Parry Beynon when
the Orders of Government
being, that Arrears in excess
of 6 Months are not to be
paid without Special
Sanction.

No 11.5

Encl 2 No. 5 Dy 13 Oct 60

Ivy Lane Commrs Office

№ 740

33

To

Lieutt P. Gough

Junior Asst. in charge

of Civil Pensioners

Ivy Lane.

Sir,

S & A

1-51

10

The Civil Audr having requested this Office to obtain the sanction of Govt for the payment of arrears of Pension to Parry Beigum, to enable him to audit the Supplemental Abstract forwarded by you ~~for the same~~; I have the honor by the Commrs desire, to request you to be so good as to ~~afford me~~ ~~such information~~ ~~as to the cause~~ under

under which the Russian question was taken by you in years "to a period exceeding 6 months" without reference to the order of Govt on the subject. — the correspondence and the letter of the 21st Oct to Mr Ly does not state the course of 13 months delay in paying the Pension — the standing orders of Govt being that arrears in excess of 6 months are not to be paid without Special Sanction

Bengalore
10th Dec. 1860

W.M.

25

1860. No 63.

From

Junior Assistant
in charge Civil Pay's

Dated 6th November
Rec'd 7th 53

In reply to letter No
740 of the 13th ultimo
Conveys explanation
on the subject of the
delay in paying arrears
of pension to one Parry
Peegrem &c.

Copies sent to the
Madras Govt with
letter of 16 Dec.
1860

Encl

No 53

36

To

Captain J. L. Pearse

Assistant in charge of the

Commissioner's Treasury

S+A.
1-51
File No
Serial
Serial No
11
Ser

In reply to your letter No 740 dated the 13th ultimo requesting me to state fully the reasons of the delay in paying arrears of pension to Parry Beegum, I have the honor to state the circumstances of the case as far as can be gathered from the records in the office.

It appears that Meer Razab ally Khan the husband of Parry Beegum died on the 23rd of May 1856 and his share was accordingly struck out of the Family Pension on the 30th of September 1859 Parry Beegum sent a petition (forwarded to the Country) praying for the continuance of her deceased husband's pension to her. Until a period of 7 months had elapsed no reply was received, and on the

Receipt

receipt of a letter from the Secretary
to the Commissioners dated 25th April
1860 Lieutenant Hill ordered that there
enjoyed by Meer Nazak ally Khan
to be included in the family pension
in favor of his widow Barry Beegum
since which the sum of Rupees 7 5-2
has been monthly charged and paid
to her together with the arrears due
from the date of her husband's death

I have again the honor to
enclose copies of the correspondence
on this subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

[signature]

Jun. Assistt to the Comr
In charge of Civil Pay

Mysore
6th November 1860

No 40

38

To

The Secretary to the Commissioner

No ca No ca No ca

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith for the information of the Board an original petition from Parry Beegum widow of the late Meer Razah ally Khan son of the late Meer Mohomed ally Khan

The Petitioner prays for a continuance to her of the sum of Rupees 7—3—2 which she was allowed out of the share of the Pension granted to her late husband on the ground of her name being inscribed in the Will left by her late Father in law —

The List describing the distribution of the pension granted for the Support of this family, and which was furnished by Meer Nasseerooddeen ally Khan, the Chief Pensioner since 1852, bears no allusion to the allegation of the Petitioner, but the full share of the Pension enjoyed by Meer Razah ally Khan, the late husband of the Petitioner — Viz No Rupees 28—6—10 would appear to have been struck off this list consequent on his decease

Mysore on the 23rd May 1855.

Meer Hyder Cadoor
ally Khan, the chief Mudaleer has been
called upon for an explanation, and he
states as will be seen by his Memorandum
Yesterday which accompanies this, that
tho' the Petitioner's name is entered in
the will left by his late Father, yet it
was omitted from the List in consequence
of a dispute which existed between
him and his brother Meer Nazeb ally
Khan, concerning their shares, but that this
dispute was settled by Captain Martin
in 1854.

There is however nothing
on record to show the settlement by
Captain Martin of this question

Under these Circumstances
I solicit the Commissioner's instructions
as to the disposal of the Petitioner's
application.

The original will left
by Meer Mohamed ally Khan is
herewith forwarded.

I have &c.

Mysore — }
4th October 1860. }

[Signed] J. H. M'Mahon
Asst. Resident

To

Lieutenant Hill

Junr Asistt to the Comr

40

Sir

With reference to Captain McHutchins letter No 210 of the 4th of last October I have the honor by desire of the Commissioner, to inform you, that under all the circumstances of the case, he is of opinion, that the claim of Pauchan Bee or Parry Bee widow of Meer Rozab Ally Khan, a claim not of right but of charity should be left to the discretion of the head of the family.

The original Will of the late Nabob Meer Mohomed Ally Khan is herewith returned.

I have &c

Kurrachee
25th April 1860

/Signed/ J. Le Pearse
Offg Secretary

/True Copy/

Jun Asistt to the Comr
In charge of Civil Pensions

1861 -

No. 3 -

To

The Chief Secretary
to Govt. F.S.G.

Dated
Despt. 3 24th January

No. 3

With reference to
letter No 26 of the
16 November requesting
to obtain the authority
of Govt. for the arrears
of Pension paid to
Parry Begum which
remains unadjusted
in the accounts of this
Office -

41

Recd. 92/61

Section 38-A
File No. 1-51
Ser. 12

Mysore Commrs Office

The Chief Secretary
to Government
F. S. G.

Bangalore dtd 24th January 1861

Sir,

With reference
to my letter No. 26
of the 16th November
last, I have the
honor to request
that you will
do me the favor
to obtain the Authority, therein solicited,
of the Honourable
the Governor in
Council for the
Amount of arrears
of Pension namely
Rs 65.10.3 paid
to

to Parry Beigum a
Stipendiary on the
Two Lac Fund, to
enable the Civil
Auditor to Pass the
Charge; as the
Amount remains
unadjusted in the
Accounts of this
Office ——.

Kundydroog
24th January 1761.

No. 61.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.
Section 8 & A
File No 1-5-1
Serial No 13

FROM

T. PYCROFT, Esq.,

Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George,

To

THE COMMISSIONER FOR THE GOVERNMENT
OF THE TERRITORIES OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE.

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 16th November last, No. 26, requesting that the arrears of pension disbursed to Parry Begum, a pensioner on the Two Lac Fund, may be sanctioned to enable the Civil Auditor to pass the charge.

2. In reply I have to inform you that your letter was referred to the Civil Auditor and he was requested to state on what grounds he considered the sanction of this Government to be necessary for the payment made to Parry Begum, and whether under the circumstance of the pension having been sanctioned by the Supreme Government upon your *direct* application, the authority of this Government would be regarded as sufficient for the admission of the charge on the above account.

3. I am now directed to forward to you a copy of the Civil Auditor's reply, from which you will perceive that the point to be determined is whether the distribution of the allowance sanctioned for the family of the late Meer Mahomed Ally Khan was left to be made upon your authority, or whether such distribution was required to be sanctioned by the Government of India. In the former case all that is required is the sanction of this Government for the payment of the arrears, which will at once be given on your further application to them. In the latter case you should apply to the Supreme Government for the sanction of the payment made to Parry Begum.

Dated 14th January 1861, No. 6.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
25th January 1861.

Chief Secretary.

From W. J. RAYNOR, Esq., Officiating Civil Auditor, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 14th January 1861, No. 6.

With reference to the Order of Government, dated 24th ultimo, No. 753, relative to the pension of Parry Begum, a member of the family of Meer Mahomed Ally Khan, late a Pensioner on the Mysore 2 lac fund, I have the honor to state that the note recorded by this Office returning unaudited the abstract of pension paid to her in arrears from 4th May 1858, to July 1860, does not express fully the requirements of the case.

2. The question as to whether or not Parry Begum's pension can be admitted without higher authority than that of the Commissioner of Mysore, rests upon the nature of the power exercised by that Officer in respect of the distribution of the allowance of Rs. 505 per month sanctioned for the family of the late Meer Mahomed Ally Khan, by Orders of the Government of India, dated 9th April 1851. There is nothing in this Office to shew whether the distribution of that allowance among the different members of the family of the deceased was left to be made upon the authority of the Commissioner, or whether such distribution was required to be sanctioned by the Government of India. If the former, the sanction of that Government to the allowance under reference is not requisite, and all that is necessary is that the payment of *the arrears*, the period of which exceeds 2 years, be sanctioned—and for this, the authority of the Madras Government will suffice. In the latter case, however, the sanction of the Government of India will be requisite for the admission of the allowance.

3. The abstract should properly have been returned to the Commissioner for explanation on the points noticed, which would have obviated the present unnecessary reference to Government.

4. I beg to return the enclosures.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

No. 20 1861

No. 31

To,

The Secretary
to the Govt. of India
Foreign Dept.
Fort William

Dated 14th
Despatched 15th February

No. 23

Subject Asking for orders

Copy of a letter from the
Government of Madras, and
a Statement of the
Circumstances under
which Parry Begum
claims the arrears of
Pension -

Section 82A
File No 1-5-1
Serial No 14

Entd Desp. 14th Jan. 11

47

To the Secy to the Govt of India
Fort William

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the orders and instructions of the Hon'ble the President in Council a Statement of the circumstances under which a certain Parry Begum claims a Pension from the Svo Lac Fund.

2 —— On the death in Decbr 1859 of Queen Nonnica Alee Khan, a Pensioner on the Svo Lac Fund, Government granted half his Pension by Rs 503 to his family.—

—— The Distribution Roll was drawn up by the Commissioner of Mysore — but was subsequently called for by the Home Authorities who eventually confirmed it subject

in its integrity, but ordered that the Pensions of the several individuals named in the Roll should lapse on the demise of each. —

4 ——— The son of Queen Zoharunnissa Ali, and husband of Parry Begum named Ali Raza Khan was one of the individuals mentioned in the Civil List whose share was Rs 28-6-10. per mensem —

5 ——— In May 1858 Ali Raza Khan died - and Parry Begum his widow at once came forward and claimed, under a certain Clause in Queen Zoharunnissa Ali's Will, a share of the Pension allowed to her husband at the rate of Rs 45 per annum —

vz 6-4-3 per diem.

——— The Head of the Family on being referred to, admitted that under the will of Queen

father-in-law she was entitled to this share, and that her name had been previously withheld in consequence of family feuds.—

—— On this the Commissioner permitted an abstract for arrears due to Parry Begum since the date of her husbands death amounting to Rs 65=70=8 to be submitted in due course to the Civil Auditor at Madras; but that Officer refused to pass the arrears, and submitted the matter to the Govt of Fort St George, with a request that the Civil Auditor might be authorized to pass the said arrears.—

from May 1858 to July 1860.—

—— The reply of that Govt copy of which is transmitted herewith, leads me to consider it advisable to submit the matter

for the consideration of the Supreme Govt., for in this particular case, the distribution Roll was drawn up by the Commissioners subsequent submission of the list to the Home Authorities, and the peculiar circumstances under which Party Coepunis name was omitted in the first instance induce me to solicit the instructions of Govt.

I have &c

Mundy Droop

14th Feby 1861.

Memorandum

with reference to the accompanying letter from the Madras Government on the subject of Parry Begums Pension, I beg to state as follows.

Queen Inokoumed Alee Khan a Pensioner on the Nizam Fund died in October 1850. On application being made by Govt. his family was given half his Pension viz Rs 503- Under the authority of the Commissioner a Distribution Roll was made out - which was subsequently called for by the Home Authorities, and was approved by them, but it was ordered that on the demise of the different members of the family, their Pensions should lapse. In this Roll Parry Begums husband, son of Queen Inokoumed was given a share but no mention was

made of in 1858 by husband died, and under the terms of a clause in their Instrument of Gift. She at once claimed a share of Rs 45 which was granted but was refused by the Commissioner referred the matter to the Head of the Family & decided that as ~~she~~ a minor at that she was originally entitled to this, but that she was omitted from the Distribution Roll in consequence of certain fraud, fraud...

Upon this the Assistant C. the Circuit from the date of her husband's death was ordered by the Court to be sent in and was in due course forwarded to the Civil Auditor who refused to pass it for the reason without Govt. sanction for more than six months, as the matter had been submitted to the Govt

a reference was made to the Civil Auditor by that Govt and he in reply at once stated that he would write the Sanction of Govt pass the arrears. but in this case he had nothing to shew whether the distribution of the Shares rests with the Commissioner of Mysore, or the Supreme Govt.—

The matter therefore stands thus at present.— The Distribution Roll was drawn up under the authority of the Commissioner, in the first instance, but was subsequently submitted through the Supreme Govt to the Home Authorities, and the allotment was confirmed, but it was ordered that the Shares were to lapse on the demise of the individuals even now in the list.— Parry Begums was not included in the Roll

to it was evidently the intention of her Father in Law that she should be a Slave, and under his will she now remains that. Marie — ~~Coralie~~ her right to which was adjudicated by the Head of Family, in the first instance, or claimed by her until after her husband's death. The two questions which consequently now remain to be decided — viz — in any of this situation whatever take place now — or can the Commissioner authorize any to-do without — Is for us Pour juger. Has the State that without, Special sanction from the Home Authorities? Do not think either can be authorized —

Yours Sincerely
Rufus King Esq
Present

A. Lincoln

Secretary of State
Washington

31st January 1861

Send me this over
with the case, and a letter

No 2280 55

Section S Dept
File No 1-57
Serial No 15

From,

The Under Secretary
to the Government of India

To,

The Officiating Commissioner
of Mysore

Dated Fort William the 6th of May 1861

(Foreign Deptt)

Sir,

In reply to Sir Mark Cubbon's letter, No 33, dated 14th February last, regarding the claims of Parry Begum, widow of Ali Reza Khan, to a pension from the Two Lac Fund, I am directed by the Governor General in Council with reference to the discrepancies apparent between the rates of pension given in paras 5 and 7 to a pension of Rs 32/13 per mensem to request that you will state what is the correct rate of pension per mensem claimed by Parry Begum and the aggregate of arrears from May 1858 to July 1860.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant.

C Bushby
Under Secretary to the Govt of India

Fort William
the 6th of May 1861

Ellis

Brit. Foreign
Office Records
Correspondence &c
re a Memorandum
on the Subject of
the Isle of Pines
Referred to
Jan 5 61 Wm

No 41

Memorandum

With reference to the accompanying letter from the Government of Mysore of date the 6th Instant, and the previous correspondence on the subject, I beg respectfully to state that the Statement of arrears of the pension claimed by Parry Begum prepared by Lieutenant Leigh and sent to Civil Auditor through our Account Department appears to be somewhat incorrect as pointed out to the

On the demise of Nabob Meer Mohomed Ally Khan in January 1851, a moiety of his Pension or Rupees 505 per Mensem was granted by Government in the name of Nasseroodeen the head of the family, who is stated to have distributed the Pension according to a will left by the Nabob, by which a share of Rupees 28-6-10 was assigned to the Nabobs' Eldest crippled son named Ally Raza Khan husband of Parry Begum.

In July 1852 a distribution list was

× From Two Lac fund
Rupees 175-
Mysore state 262-8-
Lowar Cutchery 67-8-
Mutfurkhat
Total Rs 505-

which of the above mentioned Rates is to be fixed, and what amount of arrears is due, is a matter to be decided by the Commissioner for Adjudication to Government on an Examination of the Terms of the said Jacobs' Will under which Barry Vagan claims her Share.

J. Coffaulish

Ennisdroog
29th May 1861.

Forward to Dublin
Govt Copy to
Letter and of the
Memorandum and
Cover Letter respecting
Explanation of the
Incorrectness of the
Statement previously
furnished by the
Reference to the Rates
of Persons herein, upon
which reliance has
been placed in the
Revised Statement
for Submission to
Govt by
29 5 61

No 33

Mysore 6th June 1861

61

To

Captain J. Le. Pearse

Secretary to the Commissioner

for the Government of the Territories

of He, He, the Maha Rajah of Mysore

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 475 dated the 27th Ultimo forwarding for my information, copy of a letter from Mr. Aitchison Under Secretary to the Government of India, together with a Memorandum on the subject of the arrears of pension to Parey Beegum widow of Ally Razah Khan, and requesting me to explain the cause of the incorrectness of an accompanying Statement furnished by me to your Office in September 1860, and to send a revised one for submission to the Government of India.

I have the honor to inform you that no statement of mine accompanied your letter, and to enclose a fresh Statement of the arrears of the Two Lac Hund pension due

due to Parry Beegum - The total amount of pension drawn by Parry Beegum amounts to Rupees 7. 2. This is drawn from three different branches Viz. Nundydroog 15. 2 from the Moothurkhul, 3 12 " from the Mysore state, an 2 6 " from the Swosambund - The amount of arrears originally objected to by the Civil Auditor, is Rupees 65. 10 3 and is calculated at Rupees 2 6 a month for 26 months and 8 days -

With reference to a portion of the memo which states that "Lieutenant Gonsalves stated in a letter of 4th October 1859 that Rupees 6. 4. 3 was placed in the Will as the share of Parry Beegum, and that in another dated 6th November 1860 Rupees 7. 3. 2" I have the honor to state that I was not in this appointment on 4th October 1859, and that on referring

to

to the letter written on that date by Captain McHutchin then in charge of Pensioners, I do not see it stated that Parry Beegum's share in the Will was Rupees 6—4—3. I cannot therefore explain any such discrepancies as are mentioned in the Memorandum.

I take this opportunity to forward another statement for Rupees Twenty five (25) being the arrears due to Parry Beegum from August 1860 to May 1861 calculated as the former one and to request you will have the goodness to submit this with the above statement to avoid any further objections on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

Rumsey

Junr Asst to the Comr
In charge of Civil Pensioners

Supplimental Abstract for the Amount paid to Parry Beegum a member of Meer Mohomed ally Khan's family in the month of August 1860

No	Names of Pensioners & rate of Pensions	Period for which paid	Amount paid	Total	Income Tax at 3/1 and 2 ½ Cent	Dates of Govern't orders sanctioning the Pension	When paid Whether in person or by attorney
			R. A. P.	R. A. P.			
	Sooltan's Pension						
	Family of Meer Mohomed ally Khan					From the Secret'y to the Govern't of India to the Comm'r in Mysore dated 9th April 1851	
	Parry Beegum at Rupees 65 " "	From 4th May 1858 to July 1860	65 10 3		" " "		On the 6th August 1860 by Vakeel
	Total amount			65 10 3			
	Total Company's Rupees			65 10 3			

The calculation is not right

Mysore
25 Sept 1860

The Commissioner Mysore is requested to obtain the Sanction of Govt for the payment of pension to Parry Begum & then submit the bill for Audit.

C. C. A.

Asst to the Comm'r

Jun'r Assist't to the Comm'r
In charge of Civil Pens'n

No 256.

Mysore Commissioner

67

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India
Foreign Dept.
Fort William

S&A
Dept.
File No 1-57
Serial No 17

"Invoice" Ser.

With Reference
to Mr. Under Secretary
G.'s Petition's
letter marginally noted
I have the honor to
forward for submission
to His Excellency the
Right Honorable the
Viceroy and Governor
General of India, an
Extract of a letter from
Lieutenant Gough
In charge of Prisoners
at Mysore enclosing

In
No 2286 of the 6th
May 1851

* Mr. Ellis

69

June to October 1861

Calculated at 2½

Rubies for Museum.

Camp

Woolwich 18th November 1861

Desp 23

Inst Mus Coll

Rec'd a Cover for

Specd Exam in Geo.

Own Hand 11 Nov

Inst Mus Coll

Ans

Dec 18 1861

Dept Ohio
Dec 18 1861
No 328
Respectfully forwarded
to the Secretary of War
Wm Starke
Asst Adjt Gen

Abstract shewing the arrears due to Parry Beegum of Meerzaiboonedally Khan's family from the Sevo Lucknow

Names of Pensioners	No. and date of Certificate or Letters	Amount of monthly Pension	Total	Deduction on account of Income Tax At 3 P.C. cent	Above 3 P.C. cent	At 2 P.C. cent	Remarks
		R A P	R A P				
Parry Beegum from August 1860 to May 1861	9th April 1861	2 8 "	25 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	

Parry Joseph
Junior Assistt to the Comr
In charge of Civil Pay

Abstract Shewing the Arrears due to Parry Beequm of Meer Mohomed alias Khan's Family from the Two Last Bonds

Names of Pensioners	No. and date of Certificate or Letters	Amount of current Pension	Total	Deduction on account of Income Tax			Remarks
				At 3 ½ Cent	At 1 ½ Cent	At 2 ½ Cent	
		R A P	R A P				
Parry Beequm from 24th May 1858 to July 1860	9th April 1867	2 8 "	65 10 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	21

Parry Smith
Junior Assistt to the Commr
In charge of Civil Pensns

Extract shewing the arrears due to Darby Bagnum of Meer Mohemed Ally Khan's family from the 2nd Lee fund.

Names of Pensioners	No. & date of Certificate or Letters.	Amount of Monthly Pension	Total	Deduction on account of Income Tax			Remarks.
				At 3d Cent	At 1d Cent	At 2d Cent	
Darby Bagnum from June to October 1861	9th April 1851	28 "	128 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	

Camp Hoolhier
4th November 1861

Signed C. B. Saunders
Officiating Commissioner

Extract of a letter from Lieut.-Col. Douglas Dunlop Resident at Mysore to the Secretary to the Commissioner for the Govt. of the Territories of His Highness the Maha Rajah of Mysore dated the 6th June 1861. No. 33.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 475 dated the 27th ultimo forwarding for my information Copy of a letter from Mr. Aitchison Under Secretary to the Government of India, together with a Memorandum on the subject of the arrears of pension to Barry Begum widow of Ally Ruzah Khan and requesting me to explain the cause of the incorrectness of an accompanying statement furnished by me to your Office in September 1860, and to send a revised one for submission to the Government of India.

I have

Two Cattle funds pension due to Barry
Dejam - The total amount of
pension drawn by Barry Dejam
amounts to Rupees 7-3-2 - This is
drawn from the three different treasuries
Viz.t — 15-2 from the Hurdwar Tehsil.
3-12-— from the Bijnore State, and
2-8-— from the Two Cattle funds - The
Amount of arrears originally settled
by the Civil Auditor is Rupees 63-10-3 -
and is calculated at Rupees 2-8-— + from Dec.r
a Month for 26 Months and 8 days -

Make this opportunity to forward another
Statement for Rs. 25 being the arrears due to Barry
Dejam from August 1860 to May 1861 calculated on
the former one, and to request you will cause the
Goodness to submit this with the above State-
ment to avoid any further objections on the
subject -

Camp Hoolias 18th Nov.r 1861.

No 318

From C. U. Aitchison, Esquire
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

To The Offg. Commissioner for the Government of the Territories of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore

Section S & A
Vol No 1-57
Serial No 18

Fort William, the 18 December 1861.

Foreign Dept.
Finance

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 18th Ultimo, No. 256, regarding the claim of Parry Begum to a pension from the Two-Lac Fund, I am directed to inform you that the Governor General in Council sanctions, as an act of favor in this particular case, a pension of Rs. 2-8-0 per mensem to Parry Begum, for her life, with arrears from May 1858 to October 1861, inclusive, amounting to Rs. 103-2-3.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

C. U. Aitchison
Un. Secy to the Govt. of India

Fort William
The 18 December
1861.

E.B.S.



78

Vol 18 Page No 4

27

1864.

Section S&A
File No 1-5-1
Serial No 19

From Captain Elliot C.S.I.
Supt. & in charge of the Palace duties
To The Secretary to the Mysore Commissioner

Dated 15th September 1864
Despatched 16th
Received 19th

Enclosures, No.

No 15

Subject.

Reports the death of Bassy Beegum a Pensioner on the Govt. Allowance

Copy under docket, & list of Indents noted the labels in the Registers B 19/9/64

No. 15

Residency Department

To

The Secretary to the

Mysore Commissioner

Dated Mysore 15th September 1864

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Commissioner, that Bassy Begum a Member of the late Meer Mohomed ally Khan's family died at Mysore on the 9th Instant—

She was in the receipt of Rupees 2-8— from the Swalae fund, and 3-12— from the Mysore State pension, in all amounting to Rupees 6-4— a Month— These being life pensions, lapse to Government from the date of her demise

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

Asst Superintendent Ashur &c.

and In Charge of the Palace duties